

United States Patent and Trademark Office





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/992,387	11/16/2001	Anthony L. Coyle	50000.2162	7595	
75	690 02/26/2003			•	
GARY C. HO	NEYCUTT	EXAMINER			
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED P.O. BOX 655474, MS 3999 DALLAS, TX 75265			LEWIS, MONICA		
DALLAS, IX	13203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2822		

DATE MAILED: 02/26/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		Application No).	Applicant(s)	
w.i		09/992,387		COYLE ET AL.	11/
Office Action Summ	Examiner		Art Unit	V	
		Monica Lewis		1	
The MAILING DATE of this co	ommunication app	ears on the cove	er sheet with th	2822 correspondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COM Extensions of time may be available under the p after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of If the period for reply specified above is less tha If NO period for reply is specified above, the ma Failure to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7	WIMUNICATION. provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 this communication. In thirty (30) days, a reply eximum statutory period if for reply will, by statute, months after the mailing.	6(a). In no event, how within the statutory mill apply and will expire	vever, may a reply be til nimum of thirty (30) day SIX (6) MONTHS from	mely filed ys will be considered timely the mailing date of this co	mmunication.
1) Responsive to communication	on(s) filed on <u>09</u> D	ecember 2002 .			
2a) This action is FINAL .		s action is non-f			
3) Since this application is in coclosed in accordance with the Disposition of Claims	ondition for allowar	nce except for fo	ormal matters in	rosecution as to the 453 O.G. 213.	e merits is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 17-24</u> is/ar	e pending in the a	pplication.			
4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdraw	n from consider	ation.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 17-24</u> is/are	e rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected	d to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to Application Papers	restriction and/or	election require	ment.		
9) The specification is objected to	by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed oni			ed to by the Exar	miner	
Applicant may not request that a					
11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction	on filed on <u>13 Nov</u>	<u>ember 2002</u> is:	a)⊠ approved b	o) ☐ disapproved by	/ the Examine
If approved, corrected drawings	are required in reply	to this Office act	ion.	, <u> </u>	, are Examine
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is object	ted to by the Exar	miner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 12	:0				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a	claim for foreign p	oriority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None				() - ()	
 Certified copies of the pr 	riority documents I	nave been rece	ived.		
2. Certified copies of the pr				on No.	
3. Copies of the certified controlapplication from the stacked detailed Office	opies of the priority International Bure	/ documents ha au (PCT Rule 1	ve been receive	d in this National S	tage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a cl					mmli = = Ai = = A
a) ☐ The translation of the foreig	gn language provis	sional application	n has been rece	eived.	pplication).
ttachment(s)		priority unuel 30	0.0.0. 99 120	anu/01 1∠1.	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14	view (PTO-948) 449) Paper No(s) <u>6 & 7</u>	5)	Interview Summary Notice of Informal Pa Other:	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-	152)
Patent and Trademark Office O-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Actio	n Summary		Part of P	aper No. 6

Application/Control Number: 09/992,387 Page 2

Art Unit: 2822

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to the amendment filed December 9, 2002.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 and 17-24 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the

subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 1-10 and 17-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is not clear what is meant by the following: a) "exit ports" (See Claim 1, Claim 10 and Claim 17). Claims 2-9 and 18-24 depend directly or indirectly from a rejected claim and are, therefore, also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph for the reasons set above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2822

6. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5-10, 17, 18 and 20-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Inaba (Japan Patent No. JP02001217388) in view of Gillette et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,831,832).

In regards to claim 1, Inaba discloses the following:

- a) an integrated circuit chip (3) having an outline, active and passive surfaces, active components including a plurality of contact pads on said active surface (See Figure 1, Figure 3, Figure 9 and Paragraph 23);
- b) a plurality of electrical coupling members attached to said contact pads, said coupling members selected from a group consisting of gold bumps, copper bumps, copper/nickel/palladium bumps, and z-axis conductive epoxy (See Paragraph 18 and Paragraph 23);
- c) an electrically insulating thin-film interposer having first and second surfaces, a plurality of electrically conductive lines integral with said first surface, a plurality of electrically conductive paths extending through said interposer, contacting said conductive lines and forming exit ports on said second surface (See Paragraph 16); and
- d) chip coupling members attached to said conductive lines, covering an area portion of said first interposer surface (See Figure 1).

In regards to claim 1, Inaba fails to disclose the following:

a) contact pads spaced apart by less then 100 um.

However, the applicant has not established the critical nature of the dimension of "contact pads spaced apart by less then 100 um." "The law is replete with cases in which the difference between the claimed invention and the prior art is some range or other variable within the claims. . . . In such a situation, the applicant must show that the particular range is critical, generally by showing that the claimed range achieves unexpected results relative to the prior art range." *In re Woodruff*, 919 F.2d 1575, 16 USPQ2d 1934 (Fed. Cir.1990).

b) encapsulation material protecting said passive chip surface and at least a portion of said first interposer surface not covered by said attached chip.

Art Unit: 2822

However, Gillette et al. ("Gillette") discloses an encapsulant (42) for a ball grid array package (See Figure 2). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the semiconductor of Inaba to include an encapsulant as disclosed in Gillette because it aids in providing protection for the chip (See Figure 2).

Additionally, since Inaba and Gillette are both from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Gillette would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Inaba.

In regards to claims 2 and 18, Inaba discloses the following:

a) solder balls attached to said exit ports on said second interposer surface (See Figure 1).

In regards to claims 4 and 20, Inaba discloses the following:

a) interposer is a polyimide film (See Paragraph 16).

In regards to claims 5 and 20, Inaba discloses the following:

- a) interposer has an outline larger than said outline of said chip (See Figure 3). In regards to claims 6 and 22, Inaba discloses the following:
- a) electrically conductive lines are made of a material selected from a group consisting of copper, copper alloy, or copper plated with tin, tin alloy, silver, or gold (See Paragraph 15).

In regards to claim 7, Inaba discloses the following:

- a) coupling member is interdiffused with said conductive lines (See Figure 1).

 In regards to claims 8 and 23, Inaba fails to disclose the following:
 - a) encapsulation material is a molding compound.

However, Gillette discloses an encapsulant for a ball grid array package (See Figure 2 and Column 3 Lines 62-63). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at

Art Unit: 2822

the time the invention was made to modify the semiconductor of Inaba to include an encapsulant as disclosed in Gillette because it aids in providing protection for the chip (See Figure 2).

Additionally, since Inaba and Gillette are both from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Gillette would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Inaba.

In regards to claims 9 and 23, Inaba fails to disclose the following:

a) molding compound has the same outline as said interposer.

However, Gillette discloses an encapsulant for a ball grid array package (See Figure 2 and Column 3 Lines 62-63). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the semiconductor of Inaba to include an encapsulant as disclosed in Gillette because it aids in providing protection for the chip (See Figure 2).

Additionally, since Inaba and Gillette are both from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Gillette would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Inaba.

In regards to claim 10, Inaba discloses the following:

- a) an integrated circuit chip having an outline, active and passive surfaces, and active components including a plurality of contact pads on said active surface (See Figure 1, Figure 3, Figure 9 and Paragraph 23);
- b) a plurality of electrical coupling members attached to said contact pads, said coupling members selected from a group consisting of gold bumps, copper bumps, copper/nickel/palladium bumps, and z-axis conductive epoxy (See Paragraph 18 and Paragraph 23);
- c) an electrically insulating thin-film interposer having first and second surfaces, a plurality of electrically conductive lines integral with said first surface, a plurality of electrically conductive paths extending through said interposer, contacting said conductive lines and forming exit ports on said second surface (See Paragraph 16); and
- d) chip coupling members attached to said conductive lines, covering an area portion of said first interposer surface (See Figure 1).

Art Unit: 2822

In regards to claim 10, Inaba fails to disclose the following:

a) encapsulation material protecting said passive chip surface and at least a portion of said first interposer surface not covered by said attached chip.

However, Gillette discloses an encapsulant for a ball grid array package (See Figure 2). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the semiconductor of Inaba to include an encapsulant as disclosed in Gillette because it aids in providing protection for the chip (See Figure 2).

Additionally, since Inaba and Gillette are both from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Gillette would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Inaba.

In regards to claim 17, Inaba discloses the following:

- a) an integrated circuit chip having an outline, active and passive surfaces, and active components including a plurality of contact pads on said active surface (See Figure 1, Figure 3, Figure 9 and Paragraph 23);
- b) a plurality of electrical coupling members attached to said contact pads (See Paragraph 18 and Paragraph 23);
- c) an electrically insulating thin-film interposer having first and second surfaces, a plurality of electrically conductive lines integral with said first surface, a plurality of electrically conductive paths extending through said interposer, contacting said conductive lines and forming exit ports on said second surface (See Paragraph 16); and
 - d) chip coupling members interdiffused with said conductive lines (See Figure 1).

 In regards to claim 17, Inaba fails to disclose the following:
- a) encapsulation material protecting said passive chip surface and at least a portion of said first interposer surface not covered by said attached chip.

However, Gillette discloses an encapsulant for a ball grid array package (See Figure 2). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

Art Unit: 2822

made to modify the semiconductor of Inaba to include an encapsulant as disclosed in Gillette because it aids in providing protection for the chip (See Figure 2).

Additionally, since Inaba and Gillette are both from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Gillette would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Inaba.

7. Claims 3 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Inaba (Japan Patent No. JP02001217388) in view of Gillette et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,831,832) and Akram (U.S. Patent No. 5,898,224).

In regards to claims 3 and 19, Inaba discloses the following:

a) an adhesive (R) underfilling any spaces between said chip coupling members attached to said conductive lines under said chip (See Figure 1).

In regards to claims 3 and 19, Inaba fails to disclose the following:

a) non-conductive polymer.

However, Akram discloses non-conductive polymer underfilling (See Column 2 Lines 12-18). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the semiconductor of Inaba to include non-conductive polymer underfilling as disclosed in Akram because it aids in reinforcing electrical connections (See Column 2 Lines 12-18).

Additionally, since Inaba and Akram are both from the same field of endeavor, the purpose disclosed by Akram would have been recognized in the pertinent art of Inaba.

Application/Control Number: 09/992,387 Page 8

Art Unit: 2822

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monica Lewis whose telephone number is 703-305-3743. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amir Zarabian can be reached on 703-308-4905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-7722 for regular and after final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

ML February 14, 2003

AMIR ZARABIAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800